

Installing track extensions on an M4, called grousers or duckbills


Errata, Extensons and "Varranis" not included in the new editions $\dagger$
\#8 February 3, 2024

## Fast Rules Play Aids \& O/A



There are two documents: additional vehicles (90 total) and air power. These PDF aids are not new but you might have overlooked them. Click bere for play aids for Fast Rules.

Q."I just wondered how to tackle direct HE fire against armoured vehicles as there appears to be no anti tank factor for Howitzers when using Fire. Thanks Jim"

A.In the Rules As Written (RAW), Section VI Artillery (page 11) one can hit and destroy a tank. For example, a roll of 4 or less to hit and then 3 or less to destroy. That is Indirect Fire.

For Direct Fire, there seems like there should be a difference between light \& heavy howitzers To Destroy chance. And likewise a light \& heavy AFV. So what do you think of this variant?

Direct Fire by Howitzers Mortars cannot fire at below minimum range!

Limited to targets in line of sight up to the minimum range shown on page 3 . Fire above minimum range uses the normal Indirect Fire RAW. For Direct Fire start with the same Hit \& Destroy scores as Indirect Fire with the following modifiers.

To Hit: apply a bonus of $+\mathbf{1}$ if the target is stationary. Easier to hit when the crew has line of sight to the target that is stationary.

To Destroy bonus of +2 if either:

- Any Howitizer hits an Armored half-track or light Armored Car. There is no bonus for light and medium HE versus the rest of the more heavily armored AFVs when hit (still a 3 or less to destroy; this low chance represents a lucky hit or mobility kill that has the crew abandoning the vehicle).
- Heavy artillery's HE versus all AFVs. Large-caliber hits can could cause major damage to a tank without penetrating the armor. (Indeed this is a feature in Tractics that one rolls for.)


## Turn Sequence \& Cotton Puffs Variant

One of the proofreaders wanted to improve on the RAW Turn Sequence in Fast Rules and allow Opportunity Fire. So, what do you think of this variant?

Use cotton puffs to mark fire and movement: grey puffs for fire and tan puffs for movement. Any with grey puff cannot fire again in the turn. Any with a tan puff can fire but with a movement penalty.

## Turn Sequence

1. Roll off for which side moves first (attacker wins ties), moves* all units . Non-moving side's units can "opportunity-fire" (see page 2) against movers; results immediate. Mark firers* because cannot move or fire later in the turn.
2. Other side moves*, first side's non-movers can op-fire* at enemies moving.
3. Observe \& detect. $\dagger \quad$ *Mark with Tan puffi if moved \& Grey puff if fired. Tan
4. Fire those not fired already. puffs can fire, marked for fire penalty. **Stationary
5. Melee \& Morale. first as simultaneous. Then, movers as simultaneous.

Opportunity Fire Definition
Fire at a -1 penalty by a stationary friendly unit that hasn't fired this turn at a moving enemy unit spotted by the firer at any time during the target's movement that it can be seen by the firing unit. If the rate of fire is more than once in a turn then fire half at any time the target unit is in sight, and the remainder where the enemy unit ends its move, if still in sight. Units that opportunity fire may not have moved yet during the turn nor can they move later in the same turn they opportunity fire.
$\dagger$ Units that haven’t fired may Observe \& detect. Any unit firing observes and detects before firing. If detection fails that unit may not fire OR move. Trying to detect a target to shoot at and failing means that action was expended with no fire, whether stationary or moving.


## Tractics 0/A



Q.For the panzerfaust 100 Long range is 11 to 15 inches. However the medium range is 6 to 10 inches. In all other cases that I could see the starting distance of one range is the same as the ending distance of the last range so the long range should start with 10 inches not 11 inches. If a target was exactly the range that demarcates two range intervals should it be counted in the shorter or the longer interval? - Tom Trinko

A.
Shorter. The blue highlighted range band
should be $10-15$ " as normal. So to recap:
$0-6 "$ means 6 " or less
$6-10$ " means 10 " or less, and
$10-15$ " means 15 " or less.

- Mike Reese \& Bill Owen

| Yeapo! Data |  | 2 | Blast <br> $\downarrow$ RoundsV |  | Range: Short | Updated <br> Medium | Infantry Rules |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weapon OCPPRRGGT MCHAE ReSES2021 | Note | Crew |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pistol |  | 1 |  |  |  | 0-2 | 2-4 | 4-6" | - |
| Rifle, Bolt Action |  | 1 |  |  | 0-6 | 6-16 | 16-28 | 28-40' |
| Rifle, Semi-automatic |  | 1 |  |  | 0-6 | 6-16 | 16-28" | - |
| Rifle, Assault |  | 1 |  |  | 0-4 | 4-10 | 10-16" | - |
| Rifle, Automatic |  | 1 |  |  | 0-16 | 16-32 | 32-48 | 48-80" |
| Rifle, AT 12.7-16mm See DFM for Penetration | Enc | 2 |  | 16 | 0-6 | 6-16 | 16-28 | 28-72" |
| Submachinegun |  | 1 |  |  | 0-2 | 2-4 | 4-8" | - |
| MG - Light belt-fed (magazine-fed 3 rounds) |  | 2 |  |  | 0-20 | 20-55 | 55-80 | 80-100' |
| MG - Medium \& Heavy (if Hw, vs, ammor see note) | Enc | 4 |  |  | 0-24 | 24-64 | 64-80 | 80-120' |
| Flamethrower page 71 | Enc | 1 | *4" | 5 | 0-2 | 2-6" | - | - |
| Grenade, Hand page 98 |  | 1 | 1 " | 2 | - | 0-2 | 2-6" |  |
| Grenade, Rifle |  | 1 | $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 6 | 0-2 | 2-6 | 6-12" | - |
| Grenade, Rifle AT |  | 1 | .5" | 4 | 0-2 | 2-6 | 6-12" | - |
| Grenade Launcher 40 mm | M | 1 | 2" | 20 | 0-6 | 6-14 | 14-18 | 18-24" |
| Panzerfaust 30 |  | 1 | 2" | 2 | 0-6 | - | - |  |
| Panzerfaust 60 |  | 1 | $3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 2 | 0-6 | - | 6-10" |  |
| Panzerfaust 100 |  | 1 | 3 " |  | 0-6 | 6-10 | 11-15" | - |

Q.The M5A1 Stuart has a paved road speed of 40 MPH (40") but the updated movement table shows a maximum of 30 MPH (30") for tracked vehicles on non-paved roads (see blue highlight]. Why can wheeled vehicles move faster than tanks over firm ground? Tom Trinko

A.Because they can. Trucks had just advanced beyond the Ford model A (except, perhaps, in the

USSR. GAZ trucks were Ford 4 x 4 and 6 x 6 trucks made in a Ford factory in the Soviet Union. There was a Ford factory in Germany, too. The USA .25, .75, 1.5 and 2.5 ton military trucks were ahead of their time, being all wheel drive. There were also 6 x 4 versions of the 2.5 ton trucks. Other nations had all wheel drive as well.

GMC 2.5 ton truck had a 91.5 or 104 hp engine and could carry 2.5 tons cross country or 5 tons on good roads. They weren't speed demons.

Nor are most tanks. 25 MPH on a road is fairly fast for a WW2 medium tank. Keep in mind that the 40-50 MPH for a tank is a sprint speed. 20-24 MPH or less is more the norm. Going 50 mph on a road doesn't shake your truck apart but a tracked vehicle can't keep that up. In our games we dash our tanks down roads at full speed.* But in a convoy that isn't done. We also ignore the necessary maintenance stops. But our games really aren't long enough for that and you can, in the scenario, account for that. - Mike Reese

| TERRAIN | Yebicles |  |  |  |  | Updated | (2) <br> OCOPYRGGHT MCHMEL REEEE202I |  |  | Wre |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | wheeled |  |  | HALFTRACK |  | TRACKED |  |  |  |  |
|  | RWD Truck | AWD Truck | Jeep |  |  | 50 mpl Wh | Ipared 10 als, | mblw | numide |  |
| SPEED | 50 mph | 40mph | 35mph | 35mph | 30 mph | 25 mph | 20mph | 15 mph | 10mph | 51 |
| Road Paved or Blacktop | 50" | 40" | 35" | 35" | 30" | 25" | 20" | 15" | 10" |  |
| Dirt Road | $25^{\prime \prime}$ | $25^{\prime \prime}$ | $25^{\prime \prime}$ | 25" | 20" | 20" | 15" | 15" | 10" |  |
| Trail/Corduroy Road | 5" | 5" | $10^{\prime \prime}$ | 15 " | 10" | 10" | 10" | 10" | 10" |  |
| Ground Firm | 401 | 35" | 35" | 30" | 20" | 20" | 15" | 15" | 10" |  |
| Cross Country (Default) | 10" | 15" | 20" | 15" | 20" | 20" | 15" | 15 " | 10 " |  |

The dotted line under the speeds above 30 MPH is a clue to remind you that vehicles that are "Tracked' have that higher speed on Paved Roads only.

| HALF- <br> TRACK | TRACKED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

*Reminder of an existing variant idea: in addition to rolling each turn (from Publisher's Note, page $73 \ddagger$ ) for breakdowns, the chance would be $5 \%$ higher (than the chart below) if any were moving at top speed? But not a gun breech failure. So if the Judge rolls a 4 on the pre-determined, say, 1-3 chance of sometbing to break-

down, he asks "any moved at top speed?" In proofing this Mike added KISS! (Keep It Simple Stupid) and indeed this is not worth it to most gamers perhaps, but may for others. In my opinion, a few moments of calculating pre-game is easier than remembering to roll every 5th and 10th turns. - Bill Owen
$\dagger$ To simulate the greater general unreliability of British, German, Italian, Japanese, and Russian vehicles either check every five (5) turns or check twice every ten (10) turns. Note: US Vehicles just roll once every ten turns.

Publisher's note: In the thick of the game action, one might forget to roll every five or ten turns. An easier way to bandle this is before the game to calculate the per-turn chance for your whole side's vehicles to breakdown. For example, with five non-US AFVs on your side, if one rolls the number shown for non-US vehicles, one broke down (assign randomly). You can roll again-at a reduced chance, like $90 \%$ less-to see if another did. The actual matb is challenging**, but one needn't be precise. More important is to remember to check and it's easier to roll each turn.
Tracked Vehicles - Chance to Breakdown roll 1D20:

| $1936-1940$ | 1941-1945 AFVs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All AFVs | $0-20$ ton | $20-50$ ton | $50+$ ton |
| $1-6$ | $1-2$ | $1-5$ | $1-8$ |

Breakdown Table (roll 1D6)
1 Gun breech failure - no fire for three (3) turns!

2-3* Track thrown or broken, repairable!
4 Engine breakdown, repairable by crew in (12) turns, turret rotation reduced $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$. Repairable by Laager $\dagger$, "Motor Pool", mechanics or recovery vehicle team in four (4) turns!
5-6 Engine breakdown, repairable in shop only!
*If vehicle is stationary consider as a 4 !
**How to calculate the per turn chance before the game: Multiply the base chance for say (5) Shermans which is $1-5$ so $5 \times 5=25$ and divide by $10=2.5$. Round up or down; if attacking could round up to 1-3 or if could defending round down 1-2. So if attacking, each turn roll a D20 and see if one vehicle is affected then roll 1D6 the breakdown table.

I mentioned how one could roll for the chance that a second vehicle might breakdown but be $90 \%$ less. So,
a chance of $10 \%$ of 2.5 or less on a D20 is .25 . So, roll 3D10 and if you roll 025 or less than a second vehicle breaks down.

What's the alternative? RAW, rules as written, one must remember to roll for each vehicle every 5 or 10 turns. Then on that turn, potentially several vehicles might breakdown. That seems strange, doesn't it? If you even remember.


Q.On the Tractics Facebook group we had a discussion on the following. I had watched a video (YouTube channel WW2TV click bere for Man-for-Man Mytb) and made a comment afterward:
"The guest changes the subject to say "man for man" is an irrelevant comparison. He effectively concedes that it is not a myth. But that's the subject of the video!

Then he says the German victory over France in 1940 is a fluke, which also concedes that German soldier superiority is not a myth-especially as the Allied equipment was better in many respects. Of course, improved Allied doctrine and arms matter. Indeed it ultimately overcame German man-for-man superiority.

Germane to the subject Trevor N Dupuy in his book Numbers, Predictions \& War details the math painstakingly over dozens of battles* and says that what accounts for German victories was individual soldier's measurable superiority of $+20 \%$. This is after allowing for air power, tanks, and artillery.
Dupuy was not a "Wereaboo" [Internet slang for a fan of German soldiers] but a decorated soldier who fought in Burma during WWII. His goal was to help the US Army see how it could better train and motivate its soldiers.
*The database and methodology are called the Quantified Judgment Method or QJM. His organization, The Dupuy Institute continues his mission and has a blog on current military matters as well as records of historic battles." [I bought his updated book Attition.]

It occurred to me that most WWII rulesets do not build in German soldier superiority, as Dupuy estimates. Since Dupuy already allows for both sides' weapon counts, ground, air, and artillery, German training and doctrine account for that $20 \%$ advantage.

How would one do that in Tractics? I then floated the following. If one gave most German troops the +1 Quality bonus and others +2 from early on to 1942 wargamecampaign.wordpress.com

or 1943, then an increasing proportion would have no Quality bonus or, instead, a -1 or -2 Inept penalty.

PS This is obviously a delicate subject, as some superficial folks may take this to mean one likes Nazis. But if a hard-bitten old US soldier like Dupuy could come to this conclusion for the benefit of his nation's military, I think we can explore this without being branded as fascists. Isn't wargaming about having better understanding? - Bill Owen

A.
Tom Trinko responded with this link of a Ph D dissertation that rebuts part of Dupuy's conclusions and said, "I read Dupuys stuff when it first came out but the problem is there are too many free parameters/assumptions involved."

There was more discussion on the Facebook group (link) which acknowledged that this is not a racial condition of Germans per se. Indeed the Luftwaffe ground troops and Kriegsmarine crews pressed into infantry apparently did not get the full training and motivation so might be considered inept. This is not a blanket issue of Allies bad, Germans good but relates to the time in the war. The US Army learned remarkably quickly in the Norman hedgerows the importance of tank and infantry coordination putting a phone on the back of their tanks hooked into tank's intercom system. The scenarios one constructs can feature historical limitations.

Q.Why is boresighting restricted to point blank range? Couldn't direct fire guns be presighted to the location of a planned ambush? Also if the exact range to a point is known, as in the case of a prepared defense, shouldn't there be a to hit bonus? - Tom Trinko

A.Bore sighting that way works best with flat trajectory guns and only up to a certain range. It is an emergency measure good up to the range the gun has no modifier for range.

Battle sight is simular. When moving to contact you would have the range on the gun on battle sight. Battle
sight is the range where the shell doesn't rise higher than 2 meters, roughly the height of a tank, so the gunner can aim and fire without checking range first. In WW2 this varied. A Panther around 1000 m and a 88L71 1500 m . M4 I don't really know. Normally the gun wouldn't be loaded in WW2 until contact. After WW2 HEAT could be loaded, or HESH if British as those shells are good vs. Infantry, light AFV, and tanks.

Gun and gun sights are normally set at a certain range depending on the gun. M551 was set for 1200 meters by firing at a target at that range and then setting the gun sight to the center of the 3 round pattern. Just like a rifle. (This was 1973.) They did the same with the M60.

In the tank plants you bore sighted the gun using a + device placed on the gun (breech I think. Two wires welded to a 105 mm shell case with most of the base removed. Then the gun sight is adjusted to be on the same target which was on a large board on the wall of the tank plant. The range was set on the gun sight and the sight moved to be on target. The same for the coax using the tank sight but with the sight set for coax. The cupola sight as well and the range finder would also be set. This was one of the last stations for the turret before placing it in the hull. Hope my memory is still good.

Similar procedure for an Abrams except the laser range finder and MRS also had to be zeroed and the .50 wasn't.

Note for the MG this adjusted the MG mount. The tank's leave the factory without any machineguns mounted.

You should bore sight, an emergency measure, on defense. The best sample of that was the German use of sFH18 15 cm howitzer which was emplaced covering the only approach for tanks. Loaded with concrete penetrating shells the howitzer engaged KV tanks approaching down a road at point blank range. I

suspect the howitzer may not have working direct fire sights and the range was point blank.

## Print 3D troops on a stand

Have you thought about getting Microarmor scale tanks but thought the individual figures are too fiddly to model or game with? Two answers:

1. Team Fire rules which exist now for Tractics (in Duckbills issues $1 \& 3$ ) and Brew Up (in the rule set).


Reminder to self: make a Team Fire variant for Fast Rules!
2. Voxelhouse made these 3D troops' files available for a \$1.50 suggested donation or free. What makes these interesting is that they are printed already on a stand. Click bere to download them.

The bases are a bit thick for my taste but a lot of gamers feel that makes them easier to pick up. Don't have a resin printer? US gamers can go to TK421's Brian Muñiz.

And for Jens Najewitz superb buildings in $3-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ scale, I recommend MiniTankFactory on Etsy. His European buildings were redesigned for 6 mm to make them easy to wash and highlight. I got MiniTankFactory to try printing them in 3 mm scale and they still look great. MinTankFactory also 3D prints tanks.

## Consolidated Errata

Now that we have multiple Duckbills (DB) issues, we are consolidating errata from all so you have just one document to refer to. *The two starred items were corrected in all editions.

For Duckbills \#1-6 all variants were for Tractics only but might be adapted to Brew Up \& Fast Rules. Going forward, items specific to Tractics will have the abbreviation TR, Brew Up BU and Fast Rules FR. One will find links to free play aids consolidated in Duckbills.

For convenience we list Variants' titles but not their text.

When in a yellow highlight additional clarifications were added in this or previous issues of Duckbills about an old issue.
DB\#1
Q. Where are the German 88 mm guns? A. They are classed as 90 ; see the discussion on pages 19-20.
*Pull-Out \#11 (also on page 66) has a " 3 " on the $45^{\circ}$ Slope Angle when it should be "4" like the $45^{\circ} \mathrm{Qtr}$ in the next column.
*The 2nd Runner on the Platoon HQ table (page 11) is blank. The same data applies as for the 1st Runner.

Examples of Play, page 160, Turn Sequence Phase 1: delete the asterisk $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ at the end of the 3rd sentence.

The modern weapon, Am, LAW, on the Infantry Weapons chart should be American LAW.

Clarification: Out of Command, pg. 27 'd' 2nd bullet It may not be clear here but a squad always has to have an order change rolled for if the order is issued by the Squad Leader. BUT, if the Platoon Leader or Plt Sgt or higher ranking leader is within 2" of the Squad leader and issues a new order to the squad then you don't have to roll to change the order.

Clarification: Orders, lower right, pg. 28:
If the tanks were being run by a separate player-a "tanker" then I would not be doing his tank order arrows. He would.

Duckbills \#1 Variants: Initiative, Flukes, Ammo Loads, Quick Reactions, Radio Net \& Squad/Team Fire.

Typo: Page 98 2nd column. "*Pinned: goes prone. Fires with a -4 penalty for one in your next phase."
Q. When you have an element behind a High Hedgerow what angle in degrees can he spot out. I would think 45 degrees total ( 22.5 each side).
A. Elements in or behind concealing terrain which they have moved up to, or into, observe and fire out of that terrain with the same limitations as if in a building. 45 degrees while behind the terrain, 30 degrees if positioned in it, or 180 degrees if leaning out of the terrain in order to shoot. This would apply to hedgerows including Norman Hedgerows, bushes, or thick shrubbery. See page 45 second column. An improved position in or behind such terrain will allow all observation angles to be doubled. An improved position means that the unit has spent enough time to cut lanes of observation and fire in the terrain. This takes the same time as digging a 2-man foxhole. Seven turns. This does not include the time to dig in. See page 116.

The time to improve the position does not include the time to dig the position in the hedge. Instead of that sentence say that: Improving a position takes double the time to dig a 2 -man foxhole position. Improving can also be carefully camouflaging the position, or applying overhead cover. Overhead cover requires material to hold the sandbags and dirt and turf over the position. To do all four-dig, improve spotting, add camouflage, add overhead cover would take 28 turns in total. This improved position is not done in a hurry but if you have the time it can be done. This type of position would be part of a prepared defense position.
Q. Early US Bazooka is 75 mm Pen., though later variants should be 100 mm , and 125 mm respectively. A. 2.36" Bazooka. 75 mm North Africa and Sicily. 100 mm after August 1943. (December 1943 for Pacific)

Jan 1945 for 125 mm Europe and in the Pacific issued but not used. NOTE: these dates are estimated.
Q. On page 170 of the Infantry Combat exam-ples-3rd paragraph-MG34 casualties-it lists 2 casualties at first (which is correct), but then mentions 3 casualties in the next sentence (which is wrong).
A. Correct. Should be 2 men were hit.
Q. Also, the number of dice for determining who gets hit of the five targets should be 1D5, or 1D6, ignoring the number 6 result and re-rolling, not the 2D6 mentioned in the play example.
A. Next sentence has to be changed to read: "A 1-3 would be the closest man, 4-6 the next closest." The example rolls 1D6 for each of the two casualties. He is spreading the hits among all five men, I am spreading the hits between the two closest men to the MG.

Duckbills \#2 Variants: Soviet Smoke, Auto-Search, Solo Play.

DB\#3Informational correction that does not affect the game process. The Petard Mortar is now 230 mm not 290 mm . Mike found out someone actually measured the diameter and found it was 230 mm . The 290 size was an error copying the wrong number in an original report passed on for $50+$ years.
Q. If I say that if my tank moves 4.1 inches, out of it's 26 inch movement allowance, and then stops to fire I have to apply a movement penalty? Is that the case for the 2 nd and subsequent firing in the same turn? A. Per Page 55, either movement penalties can be avoided by any turreted vehicles which moves no more than half their allowed distance over the prevailing terrain and whose rate of fire is halved, fractions rounded up. Or... If you wanted to fire all three rounds you move your, say, 26 " and fire three times. This fire would be from where you started your move, after you moved 13 ", and the third time after you moved the full 26". All three shots would be penalized as Firing While Moving. Note: Automatic weapon such as a 20 mm Flak 38 fires bursts where the rules treat it as shots-i.e. RoF 4 is 4 bursts for an auto weapon such as on a 222 armored car, but 4 shots from a French 25 mm AT gun. So for a fully automatic fire weapon the RoF of 4 could be all fired once at the same time rather than every $1 / 4$ th of the target's move using opportunity fire. We didn't specify which weapons were automatic-although restrict them to anti-aircraft weapons 40 mm or smaller, which are the AA Machine Guns $12.7-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ and the $20-40 \mathrm{~mm} A A$.

To actually answer your question yes, the second round would be from a stationary position but rate of fire is also halved. The rules are written so that if you move less than half with a turreted vehicle and halt, you can fire stationary without the "firing while moving penalty" but you can only fire at one half your normal rate rounding up.
If you fire while moving 4.1 inches and then halt to fire your rate of fire is half. If the normal rate of fire is three then you get two fires stationary. If the normal rate of fire is two then you halt and can fire one round stationary.
Instead of firing on the move during the 4.1" movement don't fire. Then, when you halt you will get either one shot (RoF2) or two shots (RoF3) stationary with no fire while moving penalty.

## Duckbills \#3 Variants: Team Fire.

## DR\#4 Bonus \& Penalty Modifiers

Clarifications: Tractics modifiers adjust the Base to hit. Bonuses (numbers with a plus sign) add to the Base and Penalties (numbers with a minus sign) subtract from the base. One must roll the adjusted Base or less to hit. Bonuses and

penalties are not die roll modifiers.
HE/HESH vs. Spaced Armor Clarification: In the National Vehicle Data Categories rules about Armor page 76 (top of the right-hand column) explain how the plus, "+", in armor is Spaced Armor:

Clarification: Armor Thickness is shown in millimeters with any degree of "slope" in parenthesis and an added spaced armor plate after a plus sign. The two numbers should be added together before comparison of penetration by Armor Piercing rounds.

Addition: When Spaced Armor is hit by HESH and HE, page 68's left column Note mentions HESH's inability to penetrate spaced armor, it omits that the same inability to penetrate the second armor also applies to HE. Note: HESH and HE penetration blows that much earth or concrete off of any fortification it hits. HESH/ HE hitting a sandbag, spare tracks, wire, or metal screen, or spaced armor on a vehicle loses its "spalling effect" (HESH) against the vehicle itself! Likewise, HE can only penetrate the initial armor plate.

Churchill size Correction: British Churchill tanks are not LT (Large Tank) and are just ordinary medium tanks.

Duckbills \#4 Variants: Penetration Spreadsheet, Zombies.

## DB\#5 <br> No errata.

Duckbills \#5 Variants: Tom Trinko's Penetration Spreadsheet; Scenarios; Photo Recon; Turn Record Play Aid; Sand Tables; Ground Scale Conversion.
DB\#6 Where of fand Abberexitions ssmols sma AFV Index and quick links within the PDF version of Tractics.

IFM Classic \& Modified Clarification that a non-penetration on Engine Grate still has 1-10 on D20 to Knock Out (GD) the tank.
Which in this case is the engine stops working due to overpressure and shell splinters through the engine grates.

Decoding ND \& ED Clarification that No Damage and Explosive Destruction require a crew casualty roll.

Two types of ED Clarification of the difference between two types of ED +1 if in a crew compartment or -1 if in the engine compartment.

Single Compartment (like Stg III). Reminder that when
hit means the modified roll is the number of casualties.

Duckbills \#6 Variants: Gen Con 1971 Scenario.

DB\#7Scenario Ideas: sources for US Army Field Manuals \& US Army green books. TR Q/A re large HE hits and To Hit modifiers. Errata clarification 152 mm Russian artillery.

BU Additional Rules MRL, Air Support, Infrared Sights \& Troop Quality.

Comparison of the three rulesets TR, BU \& FR.
DB\#8 Product review: 3D 6 mm troops on stands.

Tractics Clarification about range band extent. Tracked vehicle maximum speeds on roads versus other terrain. Reminder of how to roll for breakdown every turn: same as in the rulebook. Bore sighting.

Commentary- Man-for-Man Myth video re German soldiers being better. Dupuy's analysis and pushback.

Duckbills \#4 Variants: Fast Rules HE from guns; Turn Sequence, Cotton Puffs \& Op Fire.



